

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/698,824		10/26/2000	Omprakash S. Sarmaru	VELCP003	7360
28436	7590	11/18/2004		EXAM	INER
IP CREAT			DO, CHAT C		
P. O. BOX 2789 CUPERTINO, CA 95015				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, , , , , , ,	,		2124	
				DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	A1!4(-)
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Office Action Summers	09/698,824	SARMARU ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
·		Chat C. Do	2124
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communicate Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
THE M - Extensi after SI - If the po - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOI AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, ons of time may be available under the provisions of X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statut to reply within the set or extended period for reply will oly received by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1:136(a). In no event, however, may a cation.  days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC I, by statute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1) 🖾 F	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>17 June 2004</u> .	
2a)□ T	his action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b	)⊠ This action is non-final.	,
	Since this application is in condition fo losed in accordance with the practice	· ·	· ·
Dispositio	n of Claims		
5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C 8)□ C		withdrawn from consideration.  23 is/are rejected.  on and/or election requirement.	on.
·	ne specification is objected to by the I		
	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		•
	pplicant may not request that any objection		• •
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be	· •	
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)	cknowledgment is made of a claim for	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in the priority documents have been large and the priority documents have been large and the large and the large and the large and large an	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s		_	
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date
3) 🛛 Informa	of Draπsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC ition Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT lo(s)/Mail Date <u>06/23/04</u> .	·	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2124

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This communication is responsive to Amendment, filed 06/17/2004.
- Claims 1, 3, 5-10, 12, 14, 16-19, and 22-23 are pending in this application. Claims 1 and 12 are independent claims. In Amendment, claims 1, 3, 5-10, 12, 14, 16-19, and 22-23 are amended and claims 2, 4, 11, 13, 15 and 20-21 are cancelled. This office action is made non-final.

### Claim Objections

3. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Re claim 5, the applicant is advised to amend the phrase "the at least one at least one" in line 3 as "at least one" for avoiding duplication parts.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1, 3, 5-10, 12, 14, 16-19, and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re claim 1, the limitations "limited to solutions to a single unsolved one of the output node" in lines 2-3 first paragraph of page 8 is unclear. The examiner cannot

Art Unit: 2124

broadly interpret the limitation. For examination purposes, the examiner disregards the limitation. Claim 12 has the same rejection.

Re claim 8, all the parameters (n<sub>c</sub>, n<sub>r</sub>, k<sub>r</sub>, k<sub>c</sub>, W<sup>n</sup> k<sub>c</sub>, W<sup>n</sup> k<sub>r</sub>, W<sup>n</sup> k<sub>r</sub>, W<sup>n</sup> k<sub>r</sub>) in equations (1A and 1B) are undefined and indefinite in value. For examination purposes, the examiner considers these parameters as position at instant column, instant row, wherein k and c are two difference parameter, and coefficients at any instant column column, column row, and row row respectively. Claims 9, 19, and 22 have the rejection.

Re claim 10, the limitation "the interval" in line 2 lacks an antecedence basis. The applicant does not specific which interval in precedence claim. For examination purposes, the examiner considers the limitation as an interval.

Thus, claims 3, 5-7, 14, 16-18, and 23 are also rejected for being depend on the rejected based claims 1 and 12 respectively.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 12, 14, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Long (U.S. 6,240,141).

Art Unit: 2124

Re claim 1, Long discloses in Figures 6A-6B, 7A-7D, and 8 an apparatus for processing input sample sets of at least one discrete multi-tone (DMT) modulated communication channel (abstract lines 3-6) and the apparatus comprising: an input memory storing (42 and 44 in Figure 8 and set of data in i and k direction in Figure 6A) each input sample set of a two-dimensional array rows (e.g. 0, 4, 8....60 in Figure 6A) and columns of samples (e.g. 0, 1, 2, 3 in Figure 6A); an output memory (Figure 6B) storing two-dimensional arrays of rows (e.g. X(1,k) in Figure 6B) and columns of coefficients (e.g. X(i,1) in Figure 6B) resulting from a corresponding one of a time-tofrequency domain transformation and a frequency-to-time domain transformation (col. 5 lines 54-59) of each input sample set and a two-dimensional (N1 and N2) Fourier transform circuit coupled between the input (table 1 of X(N) in Figure 6A) and output memory (table 2 of X(N) in Figure 6B) to perform the corresponding transformation of the input sample set and having: row transform components (22 in Figure 6A) including a Radix-R butterfly having "R" inputs and "R" output nodes: and the row transform components generating partial row transforms (output of 22 in Figure 6A) limited to solutions to a single unsolved one of the "R" output nodes of the Radix-R butterfly on each of the "R" iterations through ordered sets of samples from each input sample set: and column transform components (24 in Figure 6A) coupled to the row transform components and configure to generate complete column transforms (output to the Figure 6B) from the partial row transforms generated by the row transform components prior to a completion of the "R" iterations through each input sample set by the row transform

Art Unit: 2124

components (22 and 24 process simultaneously): thereby to reduce an interval required to transform each successive input sample set.

Re claim 3, Long further discloses in Figures 6A-6B, 7A-7D, and 8 the input memory (table 1 of X(N) in Figure 6A) further comprises: "R" separate memories each storing contiguous blocks of columns of the two- dimensional array or rows (e.g. X(1,k)) and columns (e.g. X(i,1)) of samples of each input sample set and each of the "R" separate memories coupled to a corresponding one of the "R" inputs of the Radix-R butterfly (couple to 22).

Re claim 5, Long discloses in Figures 6A-6B, 7A-7D, and 8 the at least one discrete multi-tone (abstract) comprises a first DMT communication channel associated communications on a first subscriber line (col. 1 lines 50-55) and a second DMT communication channel associated with communications on a second subscriber line (col. 1 lines 50-55) and the first and second DMT communication channels difference from one another in a number of samples over sample set (col. 1 lines 50-55 wherein each user has difference carrier frequencies).

Re claim 6, Long discloses in Figures 6A-6B, 7A-7D, and 8 the row transform components begin processing the next sample set before the column transform components have completed all the column transforms on a prior sample set (Figure 6A as pipeline).

Re claim 7, Long discloses in Figures 6A-6B, 7A-7D, and 8 the ordered set of samples processed by the row transform components further comprise samples separated from one another in each row of the input memory by a spacing substantially equal to a

Page 6

Art Unit: 2124

number of columns in the input sample array divided by "R" (e.g. in table 1 of X(N) in Figure 6A, the first butterfly data operation is separated from the next butterfly data operation by 1 as N/R).

Re claim 12, it is a method claim of claim 1. Thus, claim 12 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 1.

Re claim 14, it is a method claim of claim 3. Thus, claim 14 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 3.

Re claim 16, it is a method claim of claim 5. Thus, claim 16 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 5.

Re claim 17, it is a method claim of claim 6. Thus, claim 17 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 6.

Re claim 18, it is a method claim of claim 7. Thus, claim 18 is also rejected under the same rationale as cited in the rejection of rejected claim 7.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 8-10, 19, and 22-23 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 5-10, 12, 14, 16-19, and 22-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - a. U.S. Patent No. 5,408,425 to Hou discloses a split-radix discrete cosine transform.
  - b. U.S. Patent Application No. 2003/0115233A1 to Hou et al. disclose a performance optimized approach for efficient down sampling operations.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chat C. Do whose telephone number is (571) 272-3721. The examiner can normally be reached on M => F from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chaki Kakali can be reached on (571) 272-3719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chat C. Do Examiner Art Unit 2124

November 5, 2004

KAKALI CHAKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Art Unit: 2124

Page 8